

**COMP**

NIELS L. PEARSON, ESQUIRE  
Nevada Bar No. 001061  
PEARSON, PATTON, SHEA,  
FOLEY & KURTZ, P.C.  
BANK OF AMERICA WEST  
6900 Westcliff Drive, Suite 800  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
(702) 228-7717

RECEIVED  
AND FILED

2002 DEC 10 PM 3:31

LANCE S. WILSON  
CLERK  
BY HZ  
DEPUTY

**Attorneys for Plaintiff**

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## DISTRICT OF NEVADA

MINOR JAK., minor; F KENNEDY and ) CASE NO.:  
D. KENNEDY, on their own behalf, and as )  
Guardian ad Litem for MINOR JAK, and )  
DOES SIMILARLY SITUATED, )

CV-S-02-1614-LRH-LRL

Plaintiffs,

vs.

CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT; )  
CARLOS ARTURO GARCIA, individually and )  
official capacity as Superintendent; MARSHA )  
IRVIN, individually and official capacity as )  
Northwest Region Superintendent; CHARLENE )  
A. GREEN, individually and official capacity as )  
Associate Superintendent Student Support Services )  
Division; KATE KINLEY, individually and official )  
capacity as Assistant Superintendent of Northeast )  
Region; MICHAEL S. HARLEY, individually and )  
official capacity as § 504 Compliance Officer; )  
ANDRE DENSON, individually and official )  
capacity as Mojave High School Principal; DAVID )  
SMITH, individually and official capacity as )  
Mojave High School Dean; EMILY ROBISON, )  
Mojave High School Teacher; CHARLENE )  
BECERRA, Mojave High School Teacher; )  
ROBERT BENCIVENGA, Community College )  
High School Teacher; AND DOES 1 through 10. )  
Inclusive, )

**COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES  
AND EQUITABLE RELIEF**

**JURY DEMAND**

1 Defendants. )  
2 )

3 **COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES AND EQUITABLE RELIEF**

4 **JURISDICTION**

5 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the action under **Title 28 United States Code §§ 1331 and**  
6 **1334**. This action arises under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and  
7 under federal laws, **Title 42 United States Code §§ 1981, 1983, 1988**, the **Americans with**  
8 **Disabilities Act** of 1990 (ADA); **Title 42 United States Code § 12133; § 504** of the  
9 **Rehabilitation Act** of 1973 (RA); and the **Title 29 United States Code 474** as amended; and  
10 the **(Handicapped Children's Protection Act)** of 1986 (HCPA) **Title 28 United States Code §**  
11 **1415(e)**.  
12

13 2. As to the State causes of action, this court also has jurisdiction to dispose of said cause of  
14 action pursuant to **Title 28 United States Code § 1367**.  
15

16 **VENUE**

17 3. Venue in this Court is proper under **Title 28 United States Code § 1391**, and this Court  
18 has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants in this matter because the events giving rise to this  
19 claim occurred, and will continue to occur, in this district.  
20

21 **NATURE OF THE CASE**

22 4. This is an action brought for violations and threatened violations of the rights of Plaintiffs  
23 to equal protection of the laws under the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States  
24 Constitution, and for discrimination in violation of **Title United States Code 42 §§ 1981, 1983,**  
25 **1988**. Plaintiffs seek declaratory and equitable relief and compensatory and punitive damages in  
26 an amount to be proven at trial.  
27

28 5. Minor JAK was and it at all times mentioned herein diagnosed, recognized and classified

1 as a disabled person in accordance with the State of Nevada statutes, regulations, policies,  
2 custom and practice, and pursuant to the **ADA** and **RA**. During the years 2001 and continuing  
3 Minor JAK suffered from life threatening and disabling medical ailments and pathology which  
4 included and is not limited to:

- 5
- 6 a. Asthma;
- 7 b. Depression;
- 8 c. Lack of concentration;
- 9 d. Bronchitis;
- 10 e. Sinusitis;
- 11 f. Reactive airway disease;
- 12 g. Pleurisy;
- 13 h. Enlarged turbinates with approximately seventy (70%) percent obstruction;
- 14 i. Severe deviation of the caudal septum to the left;
- 15 j. Ninety (90%) percent obstruction of the left nostril;
- 16 k. Nasal septum deviation anteriorly inferiorly to the right;
- 17 l. Massive reactive allergies; and
- 18 m. Chronic allergic rhinosinusitis.
- 19
- 20

21 6. The Clark County School District has recognized and classified Minor JAK as an  
22 individual who was and is disabled. Plaintiff, Minor JAK, is and was entitled to receive full and  
23 equal access to the public educational programs and activities offered within the Clark County  
24 School District. Hence, Minor JAK was and continues to be a qualified individual with a  
25 disability within the meaning of all applicable statutes and regulations, including **Section 504 of**  
26 **the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title 29 United States Code § 794, and the Americans With**  
27 **Disabilities Act, Title 42 United States Code § 12131**, as well as the School District's own  
28

1 rules, regulations and policies.

2 7. Minor JAK currently is, and at all relevant times, has been a resident of Clark County  
3 within the State of Nevada.

4 8. D. KENNEDY is the mother of Minor JAK and actively participated in attempting to  
5 secure any and all accommodations authorized by law and the School District's rules,  
6 regulations, and policies.

7 9. D. KENNEDY currently is, and at all relevant times, has been a resident of Clark County  
8 within the State of Nevada.

9 10. F. Kennedy is the father of Minor JAK and the spouse of D. KENNEDY, and actively  
10 participated in attempting to secure any and all accommodations authorized by law and the  
11 School District's rule, regulations and policies.

12 11. F. KENNEDY currently is, and at all relevant times, has been a resident of Clark County  
13 within the State of Nevada.

14 12. Collectively the Plaintiffs will be referred to as "the KENNEDYS" when applicable.

15 13. The offending officials and Defendants led the KENNEDYS to believe that on April 11,  
16 2002, a settlement agreement was mutually reached between all parties, a copy of which is  
17 attached hereto and marked as Exhibit "1", hereinafter, SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT  
18 incorporated herein by reference. The KENNEDYS and their legal representative were led to  
19 believe that said SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT resolved certain past, present and continuing  
20 breaches and other issues raised by the KENNEDYS in establishing accommodations pursuant to  
21 an Amended § 504 Plan for Minor JAK, a copy of which is attached hereto and marked as  
22 Exhibit "2", hereinafter, Amended § 504 Plan, incorporated herein by reference.

23 Notwithstanding, numerous attempts requesting that the offending officials perform on said  
24 Agreements, to date said Agreements have been breached, and not complied with and ignored by  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 the offending officials and Defendants.

2 **PARTIES**

3 **PLAINTIFFS**

4  
5 14. Minor JAK, hereinafter "Minor Plaintiff", at all times mentioned herein resided within  
6 the jurisdiction and venue of this Court. At all times mentioned herein Minor Plaintiff has been a  
7 resident of North Las Vegas, Clark County, within the State of Nevada. As previously stated, at  
8 all times mentioned herein, Minor Plaintiff has been diagnosed and recognized to be suffering  
9 from a significant disability which prevents, hinders and deprives him from attending, on a  
10 regular basis, his normal educational and instructional classes, otherwise afforded to non-  
11 disabled students.  
12

13 15. Furthermore, Minor Plaintiff alleges that his disability plays a role in the discriminatory  
14 and retaliatory practices of each and every Defendant.

15 16. Plaintiff D. KENNEDY, hereinafter "mother Plaintiff", at all times mentioned has been a  
16 resident of North Las Vegas, Clark County, within the State of Nevada. As previously stated, at  
17 all times mentioned herein, mother Plaintiff participated in representing her son's (Minor  
18 Plaintiff) interest to secure any and all benefits, services and privileges as provided under the  
19 ADA and RA.  
20

21 17. Furthermore, mother Plaintiff alleges that her representation of her disabled son played a  
22 role in the discriminatory and retaliatory practices of each and every Defendant.  
23

24 18. Plaintiff F. KENNEDY, hereinafter "father Plaintiff", at all times mentioned herein has  
25 been a resident of North Las Vegas, Clark County, within the State of Nevada. As previously  
26 stated, at all times mentioned herein, father Plaintiff participated in representing his son's (Minor  
27 JAK) interest to secure all and any benefits, services and privileges as provided under the ADA  
28 and RA.

1 19. Furthermore, father Plaintiff alleges that his representation of his disabled son played a  
2 role in the discriminatory and retaliatory practices of each and every Defendant as fully stated  
3 and identified below.  
4

5 **DEFENDANTS**

6 20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that the Defendant, CLARK  
7 COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT, hereinafter, "School District", has the responsibility of  
8 providing school children full and equal access to public educational programs and activities  
9 offered in its district in compliance with the requirements of state and federal law. Defendant  
10 School District's responsibilities include administering the state system of public education.  
11 Defendant School District receives Federal financial assistance and is a public entity as defined  
12 in **Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, Title 42 United State Code. § 12131 (1).**  
13

14 21. The Plaintiffs alleged that the School District was and continues to be responsible for the  
15 training, supervision, discipline and evaluation of its subordinate employees, such as the  
16 remaining Defendants.  
17

18 22. The Plaintiffs alleged that the School District was and continues to be charged with the  
19 duty and the responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to the  
20 public educational programs and activities offered in its schools and colleges in compliance with  
21 the requirements of state and federal law. The School District's responsibilities include, but are  
22 not limited to, administering the School District's system of public education.  
23

24 23. The Plaintiffs alleged that the School District exercised police powers and jurisdiction to  
25 achieve its wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Defendant School District is  
26 "persons" within the meaning of **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to  
27 suit in this federal court.

28 24. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, the School District, having

1 knowledge that any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were  
2 about to be committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the  
3 same, neglected or refused so to do.  
4

5 25. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that the School District has and continues to establish  
6 and practice a course of conduct, custom and design by removing itself from interfering with its  
7 subordinate Educational programs, and allowing the wrongs committed herein and similar  
8 wrongs to continue at the expense and injury of the disabled students and parents in its education  
9 system.  
10

11 26. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, the School District ratified,  
12 supported, encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in  
13 conspiracy with the remaining Defendants.

14 27. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant CARLOS  
15 ARTURO GARCIA, hereinafter "Garcia", was the Superintendent of the School District at the  
16 time the incidents herein alleged occurred. Garcia is responsible for ensuring that students with  
17 disabilities in the School District are treated in compliance with the requirements of state and  
18 federal laws.  
19

20 28. The Plaintiffs alleged that Garcia was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
21 supervision, discipline and evaluation of his subordinate employees, such as the remaining  
22 Defendants.  
23

24 29. The Plaintiffs allege that Garcia was and continues to be charged with the duty and the  
25 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to public educational  
26 programs and activities offered in his schools in compliance with the requirements of state and  
27 federal law. Garcia's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, administering the School  
28 District's system of public education.



1 30. The Plaintiffs allege that Garcia exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve his  
2 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Garcia is "persons" within the meaning of  
3 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
4

5 31. The Plaintiffs alleged that, at all times mentioned herein, Garcia, having knowledge that  
6 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be  
7 committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same,  
8 neglected or refused so to do.  
9

10 32. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Garcia has and continues to establish and practice a  
11 course of conduct, custom and design by removing himself from interfering with his subordinates  
12 Educational programs, and allowing the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs to continue  
13 at the expense and injury of the disabled students and parents in his education system.

14 33. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Garcia ratified, supported  
15 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
16 with the remaining Defendants.  
17

18 34. Garcia is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.

19 35. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant MARSHA  
20 IRVIN, hereinafter "Irvin", was the Northeast Region Superintendent of the School District at the  
21 time the incidents herein alleged occurred. Irvin is responsible for ensuring that students with  
22 disabilities in the School District are treated in compliance with the requirements of state and  
23 federal laws.  
24

25 36. The Plaintiffs allege that Irvin was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
26 supervision, discipline and evaluation of her subordinate employees, such as the remaining  
27 Defendants.  
28

37. The Plaintiffs allege that Irvin was and continues to be charged with the duty and the



1 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to public educational  
2 programs and activities offered in the schools and colleges in compliance with the requirements  
3 of state and federal law. Irvin's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, administering the  
4 School District's system of public education.  
5

6 38. The Plaintiffs allege that Irvin exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve here  
7 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Irvin is "person" within the meaning of  
8 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
9

10 39. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Irvin, having knowledge that any  
11 of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be committed,  
12 and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglected or  
13 refused so to do.

14 40. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Irvin has and continues to establish and practice a  
15 course of conduct, custom and design by removing herself from interfering with her subordinates  
16 Educational programs, and allowing the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs to continue  
17 at the expenses and injury of the disabled students and parents in her education system.  
18

19 41. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Irvin ratified, supported,  
20 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
21 with the remaining Defendants.

22 42. Irvin is being sued in both her individual and official capacity.  
23

24 43. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant KATE  
25 KINLEY, hereinafter "Kinley", was the Assistant Region Superintendent of the School District  
26 at the time the incidents herein allegedly occurred. Kinley is responsible for ensuring that  
27 students with disabilities in the School District are treated in compliance with the requirements  
28 of state and federal laws.

1 44. The Plaintiffs allege that Kinley was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
2 supervision, discipline and evaluation of her subordinate employees, such as the remaining  
3 Defendants.

4  
5 45. The Plaintiffs allege that Kinley was and continues to be charged with the duty and the  
6 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to public educational  
7 programs and activities offered in the schools and colleges in compliance with the requirements  
8 of state and federal law. Kinley's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, administering  
9 the School District's system of public education.

10  
11 46. The Plaintiffs allege that Kinley exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve her  
12 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Kinley is "person" within the meaning of  
13 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.

14 47. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Kinley, having knowledge that  
15 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be  
16 committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same,  
17 neglected or refused so to do.

18  
19 48. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Kinley has and continues to establish and practice a  
20 course of conduct, custom and design by removing herself from interfering with her subordinated  
21 Educational programs, and allowing the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs to continue  
22 at the expense and injury of the disabled students and parents in her education system.

23  
24 49. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Kinley ratified, supported,  
25 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
26 with the reaming Defendants.

27 50. Kinley is being sued in both her individual and official capacity.

28 51. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant CHARLENE A.

1 GREEN, hereinafter "Green", at all times mentioned herein was the Associate Superintendent,  
2 Student Support Services Division, at the time the incidents herein alleged occurred. Defendant  
3 Green is responsible for ensuring that students with disabilities in the School District are treated  
4 in compliance with the requirements of state and federal law.  
5

6 52. The Plaintiffs allege that Green was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
7 supervision, discipline and evaluation of her subordinate employees, such as the remaining  
8 Defendants.

9 53. The Plaintiffs allege that Green was and continues to be charged with the duty and the  
10 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to public educational  
11 programs and activities offered in her schools and colleges in compliance with the requirements  
12 of state and federal law. Green's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, administering  
13 the School District's system of public education.  
14

15 54. The Plaintiffs allege that Green exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve her  
16 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Green, is "persons" within the meaning of  
17 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
18

19 55. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Green, having knowledge that  
20 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be  
21 committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same,  
22 neglected or refused so to do.  
23

24 56. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Green has and continued to establish and practice a  
25 course of conduct, custom and design by removing herself from interfering with her subordinates  
26 Educational programs, and allowing the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs to continue  
27 at the expense and injury of the disabled students and parents in her education system.  
28

57. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Green ratified, supported,

1 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
2 with the remaining Defendants

3 58. Green is being sued in both her individual and official capacity.

4 59. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant MICHAEL S.  
5 HARLEY, hereinafter "Harley" was at all times mentioned herein the § 504 Compliance Officer,  
6 charged with the ultimate duty to enforce the applicable statutes and to secure the benefits,  
7 entitlements, services and immunities guaranteed through the United States Constitution pursuant  
8 to the **Fourteenth Amendment**, the **ADA** and **RA**.  
9

10 60. The Plaintiffs allege that Harley exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve his  
11 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Harley is "person" within the meaning of  
12 **Title 42 United State Code** § 1983, **ADA** and **RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
13

14 61. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Harley, having knowledge that  
15 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be  
16 committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same.  
17 neglected or refused so to do.  
18

19 62. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Harley has and continues to establish and practice a  
20 course of conduct, custom and design by removing himself from interfering with the students  
21 with disabilities Educational programs in the School District, and allowing the wrongs  
22 committed herein and similar wrongs to continue at the expense and injury of the disabled  
23 students and parents in his education system.  
24

25 63. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Harley ratified, supported,  
26 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
27 with the remaining Defendants.

28 64. Harley is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.

1 65. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant ANDRE  
2 DENSON, hereinafter "Denson", was at all times herein the Mojave High School Principal at  
3 the time the incidents herein allegedly occurred. Defendant Denson is responsible for ensuring  
4 that students with disabilities at Mojave High School are treated in compliance with the  
5 requirements of state and federal laws.  
6

7 66. The Plaintiffs allege that Denson was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
8 supervision, discipline and evaluation of his subordinate employees, such as Defendants Smith,  
9 Becerra and Robison.  
10

11 67. The Plaintiffs allege that Denson was and continues to be charged with the duty and the  
12 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to the public  
13 educational programs and activities offered in his high schools in compliance with the  
14 requirements of state and federal law. Denson's responsibilities include, but are not limited to,  
15 administering Mojave High School's system of public education.  
16

17 68. The Plaintiffs allege that Denson exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve his  
18 wrongful purpose, as fully described below. As such, Denson is "person" within the meaning of  
19 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
20

21 69. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Denson, having knowledge that  
22 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to committed,  
23 and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same, neglected or  
24 refused so to do.  
25

26 70. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs allege that Denson has and continues to establish and practice  
27 a course of conduct, custom and design to discriminate, retaliate, obstruct justice and interfere  
28 with the administration of justice with regard to complaints filed by disabled students and/or their  
parents, and furthermore, that Denson allowed the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs

1 to continue at the expense and injury of the disabled students and parents in his high school.

2 71. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all time mentioned herein, Denson ratified, supported,  
3 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
4 with the remaining Defendants.  
5

6 72. Denson is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.

7 73. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant DAVID  
8 SMITH, hereinafter "Smith", was at all times herein Mojave High School Dean at the time the  
9 incidents herein allegedly occurred. Defendant Smith is responsible for ensuring that students  
10 with disabilities in the Mojave High School are treated in compliance with the requirements of  
11 state and federal laws.  
12

13 74. The Plaintiffs allege that Smith was and continues to be responsible for the training,  
14 supervision, discipline and evaluation of his subordinate employees, such ast teachers Becerra  
15 and Robison.

16 75. The Plaintiffs allege that Smith was and continues to be charged with the duty and the  
17 responsibility of providing disabled school children full and equal access to public educational  
18 programs and activities offered in his high schools in compliance with the requirements of state  
19 and federal laws. Smith's responsibilities include, but are not limited to, administering Mojave  
20 High School's system of public education.  
21

22 76. The Plaintiffs allege that Smith exercised police powers and jurisdiction to achieve his  
23 wrongful purpose as fully described below. As such, Smith is "persons" within the meaning of  
24 **Title 42 United States Code § 1983, ADA and RA** subject to suit in this federal court.  
25

26 77. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Smith, having knowledge that  
27 any of the wrongs conspired to be done, and mentioned herein, are and were about to be  
28 committed, and having power to prevent or aid in preventing the commission of the same.

1 neglected or refused so to do.

2 78. Furthermore, Plaintiffs allege that Smith has and continues to establish and practice a  
3 course of conduct, custom and design to discriminate, retaliate, obstruct justice and interfere with  
4 the administration of justice with regard to complaints filed by disabled students and/or their  
5 parents, and furthermore, that Smith allowed the wrongs committed herein and similar wrongs to  
6 continued at the expense and injury of disabled students and their parents in his high school.  
7

8 79. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Smith ratified, supported,  
9 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
10 with the remaining Defendants.  
11

12 80. Smith is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.

13 81. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant EMILY  
14 ROBISON, hereinafter "Robison", is, and was at all times relevant to this action, a classroom  
15 teacher at Mojave High School.  
16

17 82. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Robison, ratified, supported,  
18 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
19 with the remaining Defendants.

20 83. Robison is being sued in both her individual and official capacity.

21 84. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant CHARLENE  
22 BECERRA, hereinafter, "Becerra", is, and was at all times relevant to this action, a classroom  
23 teacher at Mojave High School.  
24

25 85. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Becerra ratified, supported,  
26 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
27 with the remaining Defendants.

28 86. Becerra is being sued in both her individual and official capacity.



1 87. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and hereinafter allege that Defendant ROBERT  
2 BENCIVENGA, hereinafter "Bencivenga", is, and was at all times relevant to this action, a  
3 classroom teacher at Community College High School, Cheyenne Campus.  
4

5 88. The Plaintiffs allege that, at all times mentioned herein, Bencivenga ratified, supported,  
6 encouraged, aided and participated in the conduct and omissions described below in conspiracy  
7 with the remaining Defendants.

8 89. Bencivenga is being sued in both his individual and official capacity.

9 90. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and on that basis alleges, that each of the fictitiously  
10 named Doe Defendants were in some manner legally responsible for the unlawful actions,  
11 unlawful policies, and unlawful practices of the remaining Defendants. Plaintiffs will amend  
12 their Complaint to set forth the true names and capacities of said Defendants, along with the  
13 appropriate charging allegations when the same have been ascertained.  
14

15 91. On the basis of information and belief, it is alleged that each of Defendants herein was the  
16 agent of the others and was acting in the scope of his or her employment.  
17

18 92. The Plaintiffs allege that at all times mentioned herein, the Defendants and each of them,  
19 are in some manner responsible for and participated in the design, quality control, inspection,  
20 training, execution of orders, unwritten policies and customs, and monitoring of the  
21 administration and management of the Clark County School District and Mojave High School.  
22

23 **INFORMATION RELEVANT TO THIS CIVIL ACTION**

24 93. In or about the 2000-2001 school year, Minor JAK's medical, psychological and physical  
25 ailments produced a disabling effect, substantially limiting one or more of Minor JAK's major  
26 life activities and academic participation.

27 94. A formal request for accommodation for Minor JAK was made to the Defendants by  
28 Minor JAK's parents.

1 95. In response to the Kennedys' request for accommodation, Defendants School District,  
2 Garcia,  
3 Irving, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith failed to timely identify Minor JAK as a § 504  
4 candidate within the statutory time limit.  
5

6 96. Furthermore, after the Kennedys requested said § 504 accommodations in March 2001,  
7 Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith delayed the  
8 implementation of said accommodation until August 30, 2001 and did not provide the Kennedys  
9 with procedural rights documentation until October of 2001.  
10

11 97. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green Harley, Denson and Smith  
12 failed, refused and neglected to provide Minor JAK with "Free, Appropriate, Public Education",  
13 hereinafter, FAPE, during the 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 school year.

14 98. On or about September 17, 2001, Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley,  
15 Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Becerra endangered the life and health of Minor JAK when  
16 he was ordered by Defendant Becerra to perform a chemical lab notwithstanding that Minor  
17 JAK's surgeon and treating physicians had notified said Defendants that Minor JAK could not be  
18 exposed to such chemicals, and contrary to Minor JAK's § 504 Plan and medical alert notices  
19 provided no later than September 7, 2001 to Defendant Becerra and all of Minor JAK's teachers.  
20

21 99. When Minor JAK objected to the chemical lab, Defendant Becerra retaliated by  
22 humiliating Minor JAK through verbal abuse including, but not limited to, the statement that  
23 whether or not Minor JAK was ill, he was no longer allowed to miss school. Furthermore,  
24 Defendant Becerra forced Minor JAK to be exposed to the use of irritants which were explicitly  
25 prohibited by his surgeon and treating physicians, his § 504 Plan and explicit medical alerts to all  
26 teachers. Subsequently, Minor JAK became severely ill, experiencing tightening of his chest,  
27 upper respiratory problems and sinus irritation. Within a few days Minor JAK was placed on  
28

1 antibiotics and was treated for sinus and asthma complications. Minor JAK suffered severe  
2 physical pain and mental anguish as the result of Defendant Becerra's acts and omissions.  
3 Consequently, Minor JAK's immune system weakened and it took Minor JAK several months to  
4 recover from the aforesaid life threatening situation, requiring extensive absences from school.  
5

6 100. When the Kennedys notified Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green,  
7 Harley, Denson and Smith regarding the incident with Defendant Becerra, they failed to counsel,  
8 punish, correct or train her and allowed the status quo to exist.

9 101. On or about September 17, 2002, Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley,  
10 Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Becerra failed, ignored and refused to comply with item 2 of  
11 the § 504 Plan requirements: "2. Student should be given exemption from participation in  
12 activities that may include irritants that are allergy sensitive to the student (i.e., animals, science  
13 chemicals) an alternate assignment should be given." A copy of the original § 504 Plan, for the  
14 2001-2002 school year is attached as Exhibit "3", and incorporated herein by reference.  
15

16 102. As a proximate result of the herein described conduct of the Defendants, and each of  
17 them, Plaintiff Minor JAK was caused to suffer grievous, serious and severe injuries, pain and  
18 suffering to his health, strength and activities and a deprivation of his federal and state civil and  
19 constitutional protected rights and activities, as fully described below.  
20

21 103. As a further proximate result of the herein described conduct of the Defendants, and each  
22 of them, Plaintiffs were required to and did, employ health practitioners to examine, treat and  
23 care for Minor JAK, and Plaintiffs incurred and will continue to incur hospital, medical, medical  
24 monitoring and incidental expenses.  
25

26 104. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith  
27 failed, ignored and refused to comply with item 1 of Minor JAK's existing § 504 Plan (Exhibit 3)  
28 and FAPE when they refused, failed and neglected to provide Minor JAK with a supplemental

1 instructor until October 23, 2001.

2 105. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith  
3 failed, ignored and refused to comply with item 1 of Minor JAK's existing § 504 Plan (Exhibit 3)  
4 and FAPE when they canceled Minor JAK's supplemental instructor without prior warning nor  
5 good cause.  
6

7 106. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith  
8 failed, ignored and refused to comply with item 1 and item 8 of Minor JAK's existing § 504 Plan  
9 (Exhibit 3) and FAPE when they refused, failed and neglected to provided assignments during  
10 Minor JAK's disability absences, nor updated or briefed the supplemental home instructor or  
11 parents regarding their academic concerns.  
12

13 107. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith  
14 failed, ignored and refused to comply with item 1 and item 8 of Minor JAK's existing § 504 Plan  
15 (Exhibit 3) and FAPE when they refused, failed and neglected to provide the Kennedys with  
16 Minor JAK's grade printouts depriving them from further assisting their son.  
17

18 108. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith,  
19 commencing in October of 2001 and continuing through the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT,  
20 and thereafter engaged in a course of conduct, which lead to the virtual expulsion of Minor JAK  
21 from Mojave High School by failing to live up to the terms of the existing § 504 Plan and the  
22 laws and regulations requiring accommodation of Minor JAK as evidence by the following  
23 intentional and egregious conduct and statements:  
24

- 25 a. Immediately upon learning that Minor JAK would not be able to take Honor's  
26 classes for which he was otherwise qualified if he was schooled Homebound, the  
27 Kennedy's rescinded an authorization for Minor JAK to leave Mojave High  
28 School and be schooled Homebound.

- 1 b. Defendant Denson refused to accommodate Minor JAK stating: **"That is the**  
2 **beauty of this [expulsion of Minor JAK from Mojave] it will be the Districts**  
3 **problem to deal with Homebound, not Mojave."**
- 4
- 5 c. Defendant Smith refused to accommodated Minor JAK stating: **"I will not allow**  
6 **an Honors or AP student to get credit when he did not do the same work as**  
7 **the other students."**
- 8
- 9 d. Defendant Denson refused to accommodate minor JAK stating, **"I will not**  
10 **provide independent study/home course materials."**
- 11
- 12 e. Defendant Denson refused to accommodate Minor JAK stating, **"I will not allow**  
13 **teachers to provide notes or written explanation for [Minor JAK] unless the**  
14 **teacher normally supplies notes to all absent students."**
- 15
- 16 f. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and  
17 Smith failed, ignored and refused to implement a § 504 Plan revision on  
18 November 07, 2001, including but not limited to failing to provide Minor JAK  
19 with the 'buddy contact list' and failing to supply Minor JAK with the agreed  
20 course work for certain classes.
- 21
- 22 g. Defendant Smith repeatedly stated that Minor JAK was the School District's  
23 problem, and therefore, it was not Mojave High School's burden to accommodate  
24 him.
- 25
- 26 h. Defendant Denson repeatedly stated that once Minor JAK was "Homebound" he  
27 would be the School District's problem, and therefore it would no longer be  
28 Mojave High School's burden to accommodate him.
- i. On or about November 7, 2001, Defendant Denson attempted to compel the  
signature of the Kennedy's by requiring that the Kennedy's release him and

1 Mojave High School from any liability and/or responsibilities, past, present or  
2 future and in consideration. Minor JAK would be allowed a modified course of  
3 independent study and provision of class notes. When the Kennedy's refused,  
4 Defendants rescinded its agreements to an amended § 504 Plan and, in retaliation,  
5 suspended Minor JAK's Supplemental Home Instruction and existing § 504 Plan.  
6

7 j. Throughout the end of 2001, Defendant Denson stated Minor JAK would not be  
8 allowed to return to Mojave High School as a part-time student, memorializing  
9 this position in a meeting on Jan 15, 2002 with Plaintiffs and later with the  
10 approval of remaining Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green,  
11 Harley and Smith memorializing this expulsion threat in successive proposed §  
12 504 Plan amendments.  
13

14 109. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith  
15 failed, neglected and refused to provide the Kennedys with the § 504 substantive and procedural  
16 due process rights and entitlements manual.  
17

18 110. Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, and Smith  
19 failed, neglected and refused to provide Minor JAK with FAPE which makes said Plan an  
20 unreasonable accommodation and a continuous breach of Defendants duties.  
21

22 111. After Plaintiff retained counsel and Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Green,  
23 Harley, Denson and Smith allowed Minor JAK to return to Mojave High School in January for  
24 the second semester of the 2001-2002 school year, without any amendments to the § 504 Plan,  
25 Exhibit 3, Plaintiffs filed for and received a Grievance Hearing date, required by regulation and  
26 law.  
27

28 112. On the eve of the hearing, on or about April 11, 2002 Defendants School District, Garcia,  
Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith agreed to settle the past, present and future

1 breaches and issues with Plaintiffs by entering into the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, Exhibit  
2 1.

3 113. On or about the month of April 2002, upon Minor JAK's return from a right hand surgery  
4 removing a tumor from his right thumb, to the jurisdiction of Defendants School District,  
5 Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith, Defendant Robison ordered Minor JAK  
6 to take a written exam. Minor JAK notified defendant Robison of the surgery, the  
7 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT and the Amended § 504 Plan, requiring an accommodation due  
8 to said disabling condition and in direct violation of item 3 of the Amended § 504 Plan, item 3  
9 "Student shall not be immediately tested upon return to school." (Exhibit "2") In the presence  
10 of other students, Defendant Robison ordered Minor JAK to write with his left hand. Minor  
11 JAK, under extreme stress, fear and pressure took the test. However, Minor JAK was excused  
12 from class after his hand began to hurt. Additionally, as required by the Amended § 504 Plan,  
13 Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Robison  
14 failed, neglected and refused to provide Minor JAK with any and all documentation and  
15 materials prior to the taking of said test, which was otherwise afforded to non-disabled students.  
16

17 114. To date, Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and  
18 Smith have failed, ignored and refused to comply with said SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT and  
19 the Amended § 504 Plan and have lead the Kennedys to believe that Minor JAK would be  
20 afforded the benefits, entitlements, services and immunities prescribed within said contract.  
21

22 115. The Plaintiffs allege that Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green,  
23 Harley, Denson and Smith had no intention of fulfilling their duties, obligations and  
24 responsibilities under said SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, but led the Kennedys to believe that  
25 said Defendants were acting in good faith. However, the Defendants knew at the time of signing  
26 and authorizing the signing of said SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT that said Defendants, and  
27  
28



1 each of them, had no intention of complying with their duties, obligations and responsibilities  
2 under said contract including, but not limited to the new conditions under the Amended §504  
3 Plan; providing compensatory education; reformation of grades outlined therein; payment of  
4 attorneys' fees; and reimbursement for out of pocket expense by the Kennedys for tutoring costs.

5  
6 116. Notwithstanding the April 11, 2002 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, Defendants School  
7 District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith failed, neglected and refused to  
8 forward the Amended § 504 Plan to Minor JAK's Community College High School staff where,  
9 pursuant to the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, Minor JAK is now attending his Senior year.

10 117. As part of the conduct and omissions exhibited by the Defendants, and each of them, the  
11 Defendants utilized and continue to utilize the pretext of falsely accusing the Kennedy's of  
12 failing to comply and conform with their respective obligations; including but not limited to:

- 13  
14 a. Falsely accusing Minor JAK of failing to complete or turn in assignments, when  
15 such work was returned or never provided in the first instance;  
16  
17 b. Falsely claiming the Kennedy's had not met burdens under the SETTLEMENT  
18 AGREEMENT and the Amended § 504 Plan that were clearly the duties of the  
19 Defendants, including but not limited to providing Supplemental Home Services,  
20 compensatory education and assignments and concept mastery, reduced  
21 assignments and testing to Minor JAK.

22 118. The Plaintiffs are informed and believe that it was only through the personal efforts of  
23 counselor Joan Ferraro at the Community College High School that a copy of the Amended §  
24 504 Plan was secured from Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley,  
25 Denson and Smith.

26  
27 119. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that assistant § 504 compliance officer, Mr. Frank  
28 McBride, briefed Defendant Bencivenga on the § 504 requirements and accommodations for

1 Minor JAK. However, Defendant Bencivenga aided, supported, incited and ratified the  
 2 remaining Defendants' conduct and omission by refusing himself to comply with the § 504 Plan.  
 3 Notwithstanding that Minor JAK had been ill due to factors arising from his disability,  
 4 Defendant Bencivenga refused to accommodate the need of Minor JAK and awarded Minor JAK  
 5 an "F" grade. During a November 20, 2002, parents meeting, Defendant Bencivenga expressed  
 6 great hostility and animosity towards Minor JAK, to such an extreme that Minor JAK broke  
 7 down crying and had to leave the meeting. Moreover, Defendant Bencivenga attacked the  
 8 character and honor of Minor JAK's mother, by significantly calling her a liar and not worthy of  
 9 belief. Plaintiff D. KENNEDY felt such humiliation, embarrassment and hostility from  
 10 Defendant Bencivenga that she, too, broke down during the meeting and had to leave the  
 11 meeting. Defendant Bencivenga expressed that he did not agree that Minor JAK should be  
 12 treated differently than any other healthy student and that he did not agree with the spirit or letter  
 13 of the § 504 Plan. Defendant Bencivenga refused to allow minor Kennedy to make up the  
 14 classes he missed or take the test he had missed due to factors caused by his disability.

### 18 **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

### 19 **VIOLATION OF TITLE 42 U.S.C. § 1981**

### 20 **(Against all Defendants)**

21 120. Plaintiffs Minor JAK and D. KENNEDY incorporate by reference and in their entirety all  
 22 above paragraphs, as though full stated herein.

23 121. Pursuant to *Title 42 United States Code* §1981, the Plaintiffs are third party recipients to  
 24 the federal rehabilitation subsidies received by the Defendants to administer and implement the  
 25 nondiscrimination policies of the United States in their school system. Hence, the Plaintiffs'  
 26 allege they are entitled to the benefits, services, terms, conditions, privileges and immunities  
 27 imbedded within said contract, which are otherwise enjoyed by non-disabled citizens.  
 28

1 122. Minor JAK alleges that due to the interference of Defendants, he was:

- 2 a. Deprived of all and any benefits under the **ADA & RA**;
- 3 b. Deprived of his due process and equal protection rights under both the Federal and
- 4 State Constitutions;
- 5 c. Subjected to discriminatory, selective, oppressive, arbitrary and retaliatory
- 6 enforcement on the basis of his assertion of his constitutionally, statutory and
- 7 regulatory protected rights, benefits, privileges and immunities; and
- 8 d. Deprived of the enjoyment of all benefits, privileges, terms, and conditions of the
- 9 contractual relationship between himself and the United States.

10 123. Plaintiff D. KENNEDY alleges that due the interference of Defendants, she was:

- 11 a. Treated with deliberate indifference and discrimination for asserting the rights of
- 12 her son, Minor JAK; and
- 13 b. Deprived of her due process and equal protection rights under both the Federal
- 14 and State Constitutions.

15 124. Therefore, Plaintiffs allege that the conduct of the Defendants, and each of them, violated

16 **Title 42 United States Code § 1981.**

17 125. Plaintiffs allege that the conduct of the Defendants was malicious, discriminatory,

18 selective, arbitrary, deliberately indifferent and retaliatory and intended to and did cause great

19 bodily harm and injury to the Plaintiffs. Since said Defendants knew that Plaintiff Minor JAK

20 was a disabled individual and suffered from life threatening medical and physical ailments,

21 Plaintiff Minor JAK should be entitled to punitive damages in an amount to be determined at the

22 time of trial.

23 126. Furthermore, Plaintiff D. KENNEDY alleges that the Defendants, being in a position of

24 power and having access to information, knew that she would suffer severe duress and physical

25

26

27

28

1 aliments seeing her son being treated in the manner described herein. Therefore, she too is  
2 entitled to punitive damages for the egregious and shocking conduct of the Defendants, and each  
3 of them.

4  
5 **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

6 **VIOLATION OF TITLE 42 U.S.C. §1983**

7 **(Against All Defendants)**

8 127. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all the above paragraphs, as  
9 though fully stated herein.

10 128. The Plaintiffs allege that the conduct, acts and omissions of the Defendants, as fully  
11 described in the above causes of action, which is specifically included and incorporated herein,  
12 violated *Title 42 United States Code* § 1983.

13  
14 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

15 **VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT**

16 **(Against All Defendants)**

17 129. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all the above paragraphs, as  
18 though fully stated herein.

19 130. The Plaintiffs allege that the conduct, acts and omissions of the Defendants, as fully  
20 described in the above causes of action, which is specifically included and incorporated herein  
21 violated the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

22  
23 **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

24 **VIOLATION OF THE REHABILITATION ACT**

25 **(Against All Defendants)**

26  
27 131. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all above paragraphs, as  
28 though fully stated herein.

1 132. The Plaintiffs contend that as a matter of law, Minor JAK was entitled to the following,  
2 which are not limited to:

- 3 a. Receiving three hours of supplemental home instruction per week while Minor  
4 JAK remained a part time student and one hour of additional supplemental home  
5 instruction for every two consecutive days missed due to illness arising from  
6 factors of his disability;
- 7 b. Be provided all relevant course class work and assignment materials.
- 8 c. The Supplemental Home Instruction teacher shall be responsible for provisions of  
9 all such materials;
- 10 d. Be graded on a reduced number of assignment to emphasize concept mastery.
- 11 e. Following any absences due to illness arising from factors of his disability, Minor  
12 JAK shall not be immediately tested upon return to school.
- 13 f. All completed assignments returned by Minor JAK shall be itemized, receipted,  
14 and dated by the Supplemental Home Instruction teacher, with a copy of this  
15 receipt provided to the Kennedys;
- 16 g. Be given additional time to complete classroom and homework assignments and  
17 examinations due to concentration problems and health concerns.
- 18 h. Be allowed to be rest, may be excused from the classroom or be allowed to go to  
19 alternate setting within or outside the classroom;
- 20 i. Be allowed a pass to the health office;
- 21 j. Be allowed to carry and use bottled water in the classroom;
- 22 k. Be provide a progress report on all classes every two weeks;
- 23 l. Be given an exemption from participation in any activities that may exacerbate his  
24 disabilities;
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

1 m. Be given alternate assignments as needed;

2 n. Be medically excused upon delivering a medical and/or parental excuse note to  
3 the teacher for absences or tardiness relating to or arising from factors of his  
4 disability. Minor JAK's excused absences shall not affect participation points or  
5 "seat time" in class. (Amended § 504 Plan. Exhibit 2)  
6

7 133. The Plaintiffs allege that the terms and conditions agreed upon at the April 11, 2002  
8 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (Exhibit "1") which resulted in the aforementioned Amended §  
9 504 Plan (Exhibit "2") approved and mutually agreed upon by the aforementioned Defendants  
10 School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith, on their own behalf and  
11 on behalf of the remaining Defendants, did not take place, were delayed, were obstructed and/or  
12 were neglected by the Defendants, resulting in injuries to the Kennedys, in violation of *Title 42*  
13 *United States Code* § 1981, the *ADA* and the *RA*.  
14

15 134. Therefore, the Plaintiffs allege the acts and omissions of the Defendants, in the manner  
16 stated below, but not limited to:

17 a. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant School District failure to properly train  
18 Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Hartley, Denson, Smith, Becerra,  
19 Robison and Bencivenga, resulted in injuries to and constitutional impediments  
20 of the Plaintiffs' rights, benefits, services, privileges, immunities and redress  
21 without fear of reprisal or discrimination as stated above;  
22

23 b. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Garcia's failure to properly train  
24 Defendants Irvin, Kinley, Green, Hartley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and  
25 Bencivenga resulted in injuries to and constitutional impediments of the  
26 Plaintiffs' rights, benefits, services, privileges, immunities and redress without  
27 fear of reprisal or discrimination as stated above;  
28

- 1 c. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Irvin's failure to properly train defendants  
2 Kinley, Green, Hartley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga resulted  
3 in injuries to and constitutional impediments of the Plaintiffs' rights, benefits,  
4 services, privileges, immunities and redress without fear of reprisal or  
5 discrimination as stated above;  
6
- 7 d. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Kinley's failure to properly train  
8 defendants Green, Hartley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga  
9 resulted in injuries to and constitutional impediments of the Plaintiffs' rights,  
10 benefits, services, privileges, immunities and redress without fear of reprisal or  
11 discrimination as stated above;  
12
- 13 e. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Green's failure to properly train defendants  
14 Hartley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison resulted in injuries to and constitutional  
15 impediments of the Plaintiffs' rights, benefits, services, privileges, immunities and  
16 redress without fear of reprisal or discrimination as stated above;  
17
- 18 f. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Harley's failure to properly train  
19 Defendants School District, Garcia, Irvin, Green, Denson, Smith, Becerra, and  
20 Robison resulted in injuries to and constitutional impediments of the Plaintiffs'  
21 rights, benefits, services, privileges, immunities and redress without fear of  
22 reprisal or discrimination as stated above;  
23
- 24 g. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Denson's failure to properly train  
25 Defendants Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga resulted in injuries to and  
26 constitutional impediments of the Plaintiffs' rights, benefits, services, privileges,  
27 immunities and redress without fear of reprisal or discrimination as stated above;  
28
- h. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant Smith's failure to properly train defendants



Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga resulted in injuries to and constitutional impediments of the Plaintiffs' rights, benefits, services, privileges, immunities and redress without fear of reprisal or discrimination as stated above;

- i. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continues to cause, Minor JAK's to lose his § 504 entitlements without substantive and procedural due process in violation of his Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution;
- j. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continues to cause, obstruction and interference with the course of justice;
- k. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continues to cause obstruction and interference with enforcing contracts as otherwise afforded to non-disabled students
- l. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continues to cause, obstruction and interference with access to administrative processes and the courts.
- m. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continue to cause, penalties and crimes based on disability or impairment;
- n. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continue to cause, abuse of process in administrative and court proceedings based on discrimination and retaliation;
- o. The Plaintiffs contend that the Defendants acts or omissions caused, and continue to cause, selective, arbitrary, capricious, oppressive and vicious enforcement of laws, regulations, rules and policies.

## FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## MONELL/CANTON

(Against School District and Does 1 to 5)

135. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all above paragraphs, as though fully stated herein.

136. The Plaintiffs allege that Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga at all times mentioned herein were the employees, representatives and agents of Defendant School District.

137. The Plaintiffs allege that Defendant School District failed to properly train Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga in the various classification of disabled students and the various entitlement, immunities, services and benefits afforded disabled students, in particular, in accommodating the needs of disabled students, such as Minor JAK inside the classroom, and in testing, assignments and other educational processes.

138. The Plaintiffs contend that Defendant School District failed to properly train Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith, Becerra, Robison and Bencivenga resulting in injuries to the Plaintiffs as alleged herein, which are not limited to:

- a. Loss of education and instruction to Minor JAK;
- b. Deprivation of the Plaintiffs' State and Federal Constitutional rights, immunities, benefits, privileges and services as mandated under the *ADA* and *RA*;
- c. Infringements upon the Plaintiffs right to contract as otherwise afforded to nondisabled individuals;
- d. Abuse of administrative process based on discrimination and retaliation;
- e. Deprivation of accessing administrative processes and the courts;
- f. Deprivation or limitation on right to due process and equal protections of the

1 Federal and State of Nevada Constitutions, laws, regulations, and rules;  
 2 g. Selective, arbitrary, capricious, oppressive and vicious enforcement of laws,  
 3 regulations, rules and policies.

#### 4 **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### 5 **VICARIOUS LIABILITY RESPONDEAT SUPERIOR**

6  
 7 **(Against Defendants, School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith**  
 8 **and Does 6 through 10)**

9 157. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all above paragraphs, as  
 10 though fully stated herein.

11 158. For the purpose of furthering their assigned duties as educators, Defendants named in this  
 12 cause of action identified Minor JAK as a disabled individual in need of § 504 assistance.

13 Defendants then sought and gained the trust and confidence of minor JAK and his parents so that  
 14 they would respect said Defendants' authority and guidance and comply with their instructions.

15 159. For the purpose of furthering their assigned duties and obligations, said Defendants  
 16 sought and gained the Plaintiffs' trust, and obedience. As a result, Plaintiffs were conditioned to  
 17 comply with the Defendants direction and to look to them as an authority on matters of  
 18 educational, moral, ethical and temporal providers with purportedly a plan to assist and  
 19 accommodate minor JAK due to his disability.

20 160. Using the power, authority and trust of their positions as educators, guidance counselors,  
 21 vocational advisors and compliance monitoring and authority figures to entice, induce, direct,  
 22 and coerce Plaintiffs to submit to their illegal orders in deprivation of Plaintiffs' constitutional,  
 23 statutory, regulatory and civil rights.

24 161. Using the power, authority and trust of their positions said Defendants enticed, induced,  
 25 directed and/or coerced Plaintiffs to forfeit their constitutional, statutory, regulatory and civil  
 26  
 27  
 28

rights, by depriving them the guarantees of substantive and procedural due process, equal protection and their right to enter and enforce both the Amended § 504 Plan and the April 11, 2002 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, as otherwise afforded to non-disabled individuals.

162. Furthermore, said Defendants acted with deliberate indifference towards Minor JAK, by denying him services, assistance, and benefits otherwise afforded to disabled students similarly situated pursuant to the *ADA* and the *RA*. As such, said Defendants are vicariously liable for the acts and omissions of their representatives, subordinates, employees and/or agents.

163. As a result of the above described conduct, the Plaintiffs, in particular Minor JAK, have suffered, and continue to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life. Plaintiffs were further prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing their daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; have sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity; and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.

## SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

### NEGLIGENT RETENTION AND/OR SUPERVISION

#### (Against Defendant School District)

164. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all above paragraphs, as though fully stated herein.

165. Upon information and belief, Defendant School District, by and through its agents, servants and employees, knew or reasonably should have known of Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Does 1 through 10 propensities to discriminate and treat disabled students and their parents/representative with deliberate indifference.

166. Upon information and belief, Defendant School District, by and through its agents,

servants and employees, knew or reasonably should have known Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Does 1 through 10 were unfit agents, and despite such knowledge, Defendant School District negligently retained and/or failed to supervise Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Does 1 through 10 in their respective positions of trust and authority as educators and § 504 program implementors where they were able to commit the wrongful acts against the Plaintiffs and others similarly situated.

167. Upon information and belief, Defendant School District, by and through its agents, servants and employees, knew or reasonably should have known Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Does 1 through 10 failed to use reasonable care to investigate the complaints filed by the Kennedys and failed to provide adequate warning to Plaintiffs of Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith and Does 1 through 10 dangerous propensities and unfitness as agents, who deprived disabled students, their parents and/or representatives of the due process and equal protection afforded to non disabled.

168. As a result of the above described conduct, Plaintiffs have suffered, and continue to suffer great pain of mind and body, shock, emotional distress, physical manifestations of emotional distress, embarrassment, loss of self esteem, disgrace, humiliation, and loss of enjoyment of life; were prevented and will continue to be prevented from performing their daily activities and obtaining the full enjoyment of life; have sustained loss of earnings and earning capacity; and/or have incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling.

## **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

### **ASSAULT**

**(By Plaintiff minor JAK only Against Defendant Becerra)**

169. Plaintiff Minor JAK realleges the above paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

170. The practices described above, including, but not limited to forcing Minor JAK to remain in the chemistry lab, closing all doors, with no ventilation of fresh or outside air, when Defendant Becerra knew and was notified by Minor JAK that he had undergone life-threatening sinus surgery and was prohibited and exempt from performing the chemistry labs.

171. When Minor JAK requested that he be excused from performing the chemistry lab, defendant Becerra refused his request, and continued to expose Minor JAK to the dangerous conditions in the chemistry laboratory. Minor JAK was allergic to said environment and subsequently Minor JAK became severely ill, experiencing tightening of his chest, upper respiratory problems and sinus irritation. Within a few days Minor JAK was placed on antibiotics and was treated for sinus and asthma complications. Minor JAK suffered severe physical pain and mental anguish as the result of Defendant Becerra's acts and omissions. Consequently, Minor JAK's immune system weakened and it took Minor JAK months to recover from the aforesaid life-threatening situation requiring extensive absences from school.

172. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Becerra's actions, as described above, Plaintiff Minor JAK sustained actual damages including injuries to his person, pain, severe, and grievous mental and emotional suffering, humiliation, shame, embarrassment, worry, fear, anguish, shock, nervousness and anxiety in an amount to be ascertained according to proof at trial.

173. The actions of Defendant Becerra, as described above, were malicious, deliberate, intentional, and embarked upon with the knowledge of, or conscious disregard of Minor JAK's safety, and knowing the harm that would be inflicted upon Plaintiff Minor JAK. As a result of said intentional conduct, Plaintiff Minor JAK is entitled to punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and to deter others from like conduct.

174. Plaintiff Minor JAK was forced to hire an attorney to represent him in this matter, and

1 Plaintiff Minor JAK should, therefore, be awarded reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

2 **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY;**

4 **BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT; SPECIFIC PERFORMANCE; EQUITABLE**

5 **RELIEF**

6 **(Against all Defendants )**

7  
8 175. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference as though set forth fully herein each and  
9 every allegation contained herein.

10 176. On April 11, 2002, in Clark County, a SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT was made in  
11 writing between the Plaintiffs and the Defendants (who were presented by Defendants Greene,  
12 Harley and Denson, more fully described and memorialized in the SETTLEMENT  
13 AGREEMENT) in which, among other things, the Defendants covenanted to and subsequently  
14 breached said SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT:  
15

- 16 a. Enroll Minor JAK in the Clark County Community College High School Program  
17 beginning in the fall term 2002-2003 school year;

18 The Kennedys were not given a schedule on which to rely so they could enroll  
19 Minor JAK in college. Therefore, Minor JAK was prevented from securing his classes. In  
20 addition, the § 504 Plan was never transferred to the new school by Defendants School District,  
21 Harley, Denson or Smith. Hence, Minor JAK was subjected to deliberate indifference, selective,  
22 capricious and arbitrary enforcement of school rules, which were exempt through the § 504 Plan  
23 implementation.  
24

- 25  
26 b. Minor JAK would receive three hours of supplemental home instruction per week  
27 while said minor remained a part time student;

28 The Defendants did not fully comply with the accommodations as to this



1 condition.

2 c. Minor JAK would receive one hour of additional supplemental home instruction  
3 for every two consecutive days missed due to illness related to his disability;

4 The Defendants did not fully comply with the accommodations as to this  
5 condition  
6

7 d. Minor JAK would be provided all relevant course class work and assignment  
8 materials;

9 Defendants did not comply with this condition.

10 e. The Supplemental Home Instruction teacher would be responsible for provision of  
11 all such materials; with receipts;

12 Defendants did not comply with this condition.

13 f. Minor JAK would be graded on a reduced number of assignments to emphasize  
14 "concept mastery";

15 Defendants did not comply with this condition.

16 g. Following any absences due to illness related to minor's disability, Minor JAK  
17 would not be immediately tested upon return to school;  
18

19 All completed assignments returned by Minor JAK Defendants did not comply  
20 with this condition. Minor JAK was tested immediately after his return from surgery to his right  
21 hand, where a tumor was removed from his palm, located next to his thumb.  
22

23 h. Minor JAK would be given additional time to complete classroom assignments  
24 due to concentration problems and health concerns;

25 Defendants did not comply with this condition

26 i. Minor JAK would be given additional time to complete homework assignments  
27 due to concentration problems and health concerns;  
28

Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- j. Minor JAK would be given additional time to complete examinations due to concentration problems and health concerns;

Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- k. Minor JAK would be provided a progress report on all classes every two weeks;
- Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- l. All absences or tardies would be excused when they arise from factors of Minor JAK's disabilities;

Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- m. Minor JAK's absence or tardies shall not affect his participation points or "seat time" in class;

Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- n. Minor JAK's semester grades for second semester of 2000-2001 Algebra II; Geometry Honors; second semester Biology I; first semester U.S. History 2001-2002; Trigonometry 2001-2002; Music Theory 2001-2002 would be amended upon completion of the compensatory education course work and based upon "concept mastery" over the Summer of 2002 and Kaplan testing results;

Defendants did not comply with this condition and never arranged for any compensatory education. In fact, notwithstanding receipt of the agreed Kaplan scores which reflect a "B" to an "A" grade, based on Minor JAK's Kaplan attendance and SAT proctored test scores, the Defendants refused and continue to refuse to assign such grades. Instead, the Defendants offered to only assign "C" grades, and in one case a "D" grade.

- o. Minor JAK's reduced "concept mastery" course work for second semester Algebra II 2000-2001; Geometry Honors 2000-2001; and Trigonometry 2001-

1 2002;

2 See the above "n" response.

- 3 p. Defendant School District would pay the \$799.00 tuition for the Algebra,  
4 Geometry and Trigonometry subjects;

5 Defendants did not comply with this condition. Instead, the Plaintiffs were forced to pay  
6 the \$799.00. Then, it took the Defendant School District approximately 2 months to reimburse .  
7 When the Plaintiffs were reimbursed by Defendant School District, they were told by Defendant  
8 School District that they had to pay taxes on the out of pocket expense and were issued a 1099  
9 Income Tax Form. Hence, any tax paid by the Plaintiff on the \$799.00 expense, constitutes a  
10 breach of this condition and deprives the Plaintiff of full reimbursement.  
11  
12

- 13 q. All of Minor JAK's attendance records from the 2001-2002 school year onward  
14 would be corrected to show appropriate coding reflecting excused absences due to  
15 disability;

16 Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- 17 r. The Defendants would allow Minor JAK to take the High School Proficiency  
18 examination at Mojave High School;

19 Defendants did not comply with this condition.

- 20 s. Defendant School District would pay reasonable attorneys fees and costs of  
21 Pearson, Patton, Shea, Foley and Kurtz upon application to Defendant School  
22 District;

23 Defendants did not comply with this condition claiming that the School District  
24 representatives had no authority to enter into this portion of the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT.  
25 Plaintiffs performed all conditions, covenants and promises required on their part to be  
26 performed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT or  
27  
28

1 have been legally excused from doing so by Defendants' conduct.

2 177. In doing the acts alleged herein above, the Defendants have intentionally breached their  
3 obligations which are fiduciary in nature requiring utmost good faith in performance to the  
4 Plaintiffs under the agreement memorialized in the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. Defendants  
5 School District, Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson and Smith with full knowledge and  
6 in conscious disregard of the Plaintiffs' rights, have aided and abetted breaches of Defendants  
7 Robison, Bencivenga and the nonparty Community College obligations under the  
8 SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, as set forth more fully herein above.  
9

10 178. As a direct and proximate consequence of Defendants' breaches, Plaintiffs have been  
11 damaged in an amount not yet fully ascertained.  
12

13 179. Plaintiffs seek specific performance and other equitable relief, including but not limited  
14 to a mandatory injunction reforming Minor JAK's academic record to properly record, pursuant  
15 to the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, Exhibit "1", and the Amended § 504, Exhibit "2",  
16 including but not limited to:

- 17 a. Reformation of JAK's permanent grade transcript to A to B+ grades; and  
18 reformation of attendance records;
- 19 b. Mandatory injunction enforcing the supplemental home services, reduced  
20 assignment "concept mastery" and testing provisions of the Amended § 504 Plan  
21 and SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT;
- 22 c. High school proficiency testing of Minor JAK, allowing matriculation to college;  
23 and  
24
- 25 d. Specific enforcement of the provision requiring payment of reasonable attorneys  
26 fees, including paralegal fees through the execution, and now to date, for the  
27 enforcement of the SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT and Amended § 504 Plan.  
28

180. Minor JAK will be irreparably harmed and has no adequate legal remedy for enforcement of the current Amended § 504 Plan and SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; and will be unable to attend; apply for; or be accepted to a college program, or be eligible for an academic scholarship based on his current transcript and school records.

### **TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **BREACH OF IMPLIED COVENANTS OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING AND FIDUCIARY DUTIES**

**(Against All Defendants )**

181. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference as though set forth fully herein each and every allegation contained herein.

182. In doing the acts alleged herein above, Defendant School District has materially breached the covenant of good faith and fair dealing implied as a matter of law and fiduciary duties in the agreement alleged herein. Defendants Garcia, Irvin, Kinley, Green, Harley, Denson, Smith, Robison, Bencivenga and Does 1 through 10, have induced and aided and abetted the breaches of such covenant.

183. As a direct and proximate consequence of Defendants' breaches, the Plaintiffs have been damaged in an amount not yet fully ascertained,

### **ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### **INTENTIONAL INTERFERENCE WITH PROSPECTIVE ECONOMIC ADVANTAGE**

**(By minor JAK against All Defendants)**

184. Plaintiff Minor JAK realleges and incorporates by reference as though set forth fully at this point, each and every allegation contained in above paragraphs.

185. As a result of nearly four (4) years of personal efforts and educational investments to

1 achieve Grades of "B" and above through his educational participation and activities, Minor JAK  
2 has developed good will, source recognition and rapport in the scholastic and educational  
3 community qualifying him for the \$10,000.00 Millennium Scholarship.

4  
5 186. Defendants knew of plaintiff's aforementioned established and prospective economic  
6 advantages in the scholastic and educational community consisting of achieving the  
7 aforementioned grade to secure himself as a candidate and recipient of the \$10,000.00  
8 Millennium Scholarship.

9 187. In committing the wrongful acts alleged in this Complaint, Defendants, and each of them,  
10 intended to interfere with, have interfered with, and will continue to interfere with, Minor JAK's  
11 relationships with such Grades, as to deprive Minor JAK a chance to the \$10,000.00 Millennium  
12 Scholarship.

13  
14 188. Defendants' wrongful acts in their interference with Plaintiff Minor JAK's prospective  
15 economic advantage, unless enjoined by order of this Court, will continue to cause great and  
16 irreparable injury to Minor JAK and Minor JAK's educational and scholastic investments. Minor  
17 JAK has no adequate remedy at law for injuries that he is currently suffering and which are  
18 threatened to be suffered from Defendants' interference with Plaintiff Minor JAK's prospective  
19 economic advantage.

20  
21 189. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' interference with plaintiff's prospective  
22 economic advantages, minor JAK has to date been damaged in not receiving the "B" and "A"  
23 grades as reflected in the Kaplan and Amended § 504 Plan, and will be further damaged in an  
24 amount not yet fully ascertained, but in excess of \$10,000.00.

25  
26 190. The actionable conduct alleged herein above of those defendants named in this cause of  
27 action was perpetrated with and through fraud, oppression, and malice. Therefore, Minor JAK is  
28 entitled to an award of punitive and exemplary damages against each such defendant, and each of

1 them, in an amount to be proven at trial.

2 **TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

3 **INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL STRESS AND HARM**

4 **(Against all the Defendants)**

5  
6 191. The Plaintiffs incorporate by reference and in their entirety all above paragraphs, as  
7 though fully stated herein.

8 192. Plaintiffs allege that these Defendants were statutorily bound to obey all  
9 antidiscrimination Federal and State laws, and to assure the safety and security of Minor JAK's  
10 education while a student at their educational institutions and while under their supervision and  
11 protection.

12  
13 193. The Plaintiffs allege that these Defendants owed a duty to exercise due care towards  
14 Minor JAK and his parents/representatives and accommodate his disabilities, respect his privacy  
15 and guarantee that Minor JAK could only fail any education and/or instructional class through  
16 lawful means and in compliance with the due process and equal protection pursuant to the  
17 Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and applicable State Constitution.

18  
19 194. The Plaintiffs allege that all the Defendants knew of Minor JAK's medical, physical and  
20 psychological disabilities, and that any induced stress would harm and injure Minor JAK and  
21 induce injuries upon his parents.

22 195. The Plaintiffs allege that all the Defendants discriminated against the Plaintiffs on the  
23 basis Minor JAK's disabilities;

24 196. The Plaintiffs allege that all the Defendants aided, ratified and supported the illegal  
25 deprivation of Minor JAK's liberty and educational rights without due process and equal  
26 protection under both State and Federal constitutions.

27  
28 197. Minor JAK alleges that all the Defendants retaliating against Minor JAK for asserting his



1 protected First Amendment Constitutional rights and activities when requesting to be  
 2 accommodated due to his disability.

3 198. Plaintiffs D. and B. Kennedy allege that the Defendants, and each of them, retaliated  
 4 against them for asserting their son's protected First Amendment Constitutional rights and  
 5 activities when requesting to accommodate their son due to his disability.  
 6

7 **WHEREFORE**, under the First Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that  
 8 this Honorable Court:

- 9 1. Assert jurisdiction over this action;
- 10 2. Award not less than \$150,000.00 for each deprivation of each Plaintiffs'  
 11 constitutional rights under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1981;
- 12 3. Award for punitive damages, in an amount that is just and proper to be made at  
 13 time of trial;
- 14 4. Award incidental cost for relocating and other expenses;
- 15 5. Award attorney's fees and costs for this lawsuit under *Title 42 United States Code*  
 16 § 1988; and  
 17
- 18 6. Any other relief this Court deems fair and just.  
 19

20 **WHEREFORE**, under the Second Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs respectfully request  
 21 that this Honorable Court:

- 22 1. Assert jurisdiction over this action;
- 23 2. Award not less than \$150,000.00 for each deprivation of Plaintiff's constitutional  
 24 rights under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1983;
- 25 3. Award for punitive damages, in an amount that is just and proper;
- 26 4. Award attorney's fees and costs for this lawsuit under *Title 42 United States Code*  
 27 § 1988; and  
 28

5. Any other relief this Court deems fair and just.

**WHEREFORE**, under the Third Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court:

1. Assert jurisdiction over this action;
2. Issue an Injunction prohibiting the Defendants from interfering, discriminating and obstructing disabled students rights, entitlements, services and privileges under both the *ADA* and the *RA*;
3. Award not less than \$150,000.00 for each deprivation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act;
4. Award in an amount that is just and proper;
5. Award attorney's fees and costs for this lawsuit under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1988; and **Title 42 United States Code** § 12131; and
6. Any other relief the Court deems fair and just.

**WHEREFORE**, under the Fourth Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court:

1. Assert jurisdiction over this action;
2. Award not less than \$150,000.00 for each deprivation of Plaintiff's rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Federal Rehabilitation Act;
3. Award attorney's fees and costs for this lawsuit under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1988; **Title 29 of United State Code** § 474 as amended; and **Title 28 United States Code** §1415(e); and
4. Any other relief this Court deems fair and just.

**WHEREFORE**, under the Fifth, Sixth and Seventh Cause of Actions, the Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Honorable Court:

1. Assert jurisdiction over this action.
2. Award not less than \$150,000.00 for each deprivation of Plaintiffs' constitutional rights under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1983;
3. Award for punitive damages, in an amount that is just and proper;
4. Award attorney's fees and costs for this lawsuit under *Title 42 United States Code* § 1988; and
5. Any other relief this Court deems fair and just.

**WHEREFORE**, under the Eighth Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs pray for judgment against the Defendant, Becerra, as follows:

1. Compensatory damages, according to proof;
2. Punitive damages, according to proof;
3. Reimbursement for all medical, psychological and health services arising or proximated by the Defendant's conduct;
4. For cost of this suit;
5. For attorney's fees; and
6. For all other relief this Court deems fair and just.

**WHEREFORE**, under the Ninth Cause of Action, Plaintiffs respectfully request the honorable court assert jurisdiction over this action and award specific performance of the settlement agreement dated April 11, 2002 in the following respects:

1. Reformation of all minor John A. Kennedy's grades, as prayed for in the Complaint herein;
2. Reformation of all minor John A. Kennedy's attendance records as set forth in the prayer as the allegations of the Complaint and the Settlement Agreement herein;
3. Issuance of a mandatory injunction enforcing and requiring supplemental home

services instruction pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement and Amended Section 504 Plan including but not limited to assignment and testing on reduced work load based on "concept mastery" incorporated into said Settlement Agreement and Amended 504 Plan;

4. An injunction requiring the high school proficiency testing minor John A. Kennedy allowing matriculation to college;
5. Specific performance of provision of the Settlement Agreement requiring the payment of all reasonable attorney's fees including paralegal fees to date for the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and Amended 504 Plan;
6. Such other equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

WHEREFORE, under the Tenth Cause of Action, Plaintiffs specifically request this Honorable Court:

1. Award compensatory damages equal to the loss of the millennium scholarship in the amount of \$10,000.
1. Punitive damages according to proof for costs of this suit;
2. For costs of this suit;
3. For attorney's fees;
4. For all other relief this court deems fair and just.

WHEREFORE, under the Eleventh and Twelfth Cause of Action, the Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court or judgment

1. For compensatory damages. according to proof;
2. Punitive damages according to proof;
3. Reimbursement of all medical, psychological and health services arising or

proximated by Defendants' conduct;


4. For cost of this suit;

5. For attorney's fees;

6. For all other relief this court deems fair and just.

DATED this 10 day of December, 2002.

PEARSON, PATTON, SHEA,  
FOLEY & KURTZ, P.C.

By   
NIELS L. PEARSON, ESQUIRE  
Nevada Bar No. 001061  
6900 Westcliff Drive, Suite 800  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89145  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

**SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT**

AGREEMENT, made and entered into as dated below, by and between Petitioners, BUTCH and DORIS KENNEDY (hereinafter referred to as "the Parents"), on behalf of their son,

(hereinafter referred to as "the Student") and CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT (hereinafter referred to as "the District"), to settle and resolve the Parents' current request for a Section 504 Impartial Hearing set for April 15 and 16, 2002. In support of the Settlement Agreement, the parties do hereby agree as follows:

WHEREAS, the Student, \_\_\_\_\_, is identified as a student with a disability under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 USC 794; 34 CFR 104 with multi-environment and drug allergies, chronic sinusitis and reactive airway disease; and

WHEREAS, the Parents and Student have alleged that the District has and continues to deny the Student with a Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE);

WHEREAS, the District denies it has deprived the Student, \_\_\_\_\_ FAPE;

WHEREAS, the Parents and Student have requested a Section 504 Impartial Hearing to address and resolve their dispute with the District;

WHEREAS, Parents and Student wish to enter into this

Settlement Agreement reserving all claims for compensatory and/or punitive damages arising out of past, present and future acts or omissions of the District and its employees.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual undertakings by the parties, the Parties do hereby agree as follows:

1. The District shall provide for the Student to be accepted into and enrolled in the Clark County Community College High School Program beginning in the fall term 2002-2003 school year.

2. Attached hereto and fully incorporated as Exhibit A is an Amended 504 Plan agreed to by the District, Student and Parents effective immediately upon signing of this Settlement Agreement.

3. Student's semester grades for second semester Algebra II 2000-2001; Geometry Honors 2000-2001; second semester Biology I 2000-2001; first semester U.S. History 2001-2002; Trigonometry 2001-2002; Music Theory 2001-2002 shall be amended, based upon completion of the following course work and testing results:

- (a) The District shall provide compensatory education based on the reduced workload of "concept mastery" incorporated into the Amended 504 plan attached as Exhibit "A" to this Settlement Agreement.

After consultation with the Student's teacher's for the above



subjects, and with the agreement of the District and Student and Parents, Student shall be provided a reduced, "concept mastery" curriculum for a fixed number of hours per week and a fixed number of weeks for Biology I during the 2002-2003 school year.

At the end of the compensatory education period, Student shall be tested by written examination, essay or paper solely on his concept mastery of compensatory education materials and Student shall receive a grade based on that testing.

Student shall not receive compensatory education during any semester exam week during the 2002-2003 school year.

During summer vacation 2002, Student be allowed to retake semester examinations for first semester music theory based on actual course materials provided. Student shall re-take examination for first semester U.S. History after mutually agreed fixed number of hours of compensatory education based on concept mastery and testing on those educational materials taught during the compensatory education.

All compensatory education and instruction shall be provided in the home by Supplemental Home Instruction teachers.

(b) The District shall provide to Student reduced "concept mastery" course work for second semester Algebra II 2000-2001, Geometry(honors) 2000-2001, and Trigonometry 2001-2002 which

shall be completed under the guidelines of the Amended 504 plan, attached to this Agreement as Exhibit "A".

For Algebra, Geometry and Trigonometry subjects, at the District's expense of the \$799.00 tuition, Student shall attend the Kaplan Learning Center course for the SAT I during the summer of 2002, with his grades in Algebra II 2000-2001, Geometry 2000-2001, and Trigonometry 2001-2002 based on the average of: 1) Student's percentile Math score in the Kaplan Testing at the end of his Kaplan curriculum and 2) Student's percentile grade based on reduced "concept mastery" materials to be provided for these subjects by the District under the Amended 504 Plan, attached as exhibit "A".

(c) With respect to Student's fourth quarter grading period, Student shall be provided reduced "concept mastery" materials for Trigonometry, American Literature, and U.S. History, and Student's fourth quarter grade and finals tests shall be based on such reduced "concept mastery" materials for these subjects provided by the District under the Amended 504 Plan, attached as exhibit "A".

4. All of the Student's attendance records from the 2001-2002 school year onward, shall be corrected to reflect appropriate coding reflecting excused absence due to disability. Due to Student's part-time attendance, it will be appropriately noted that Student has a delayed start time, if medically required rather being marked tardy.

5. The District agrees to allow Student to take the high school proficiency examination in the afternoon at Mojave High School at a mutually agreed time before the end of the 2001-2002 school year. The Student will arrange a time and date to take the proficiency examination with the Mojave High School.

6. The Parents and Student and District agree that current impartial hearing set for the 15<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> of April settling all educational issues to date, shall be dismissed without prejudice, allowing Student and Parents to reinitiate impartial hearing, grievance procedures upon notice as required by regulation to the superintendent of schools and that hearing is to be set within 45 days of such notice with the current hearing officer, Mr. Hal Taylor, unless unavailable

7. This Settlement Agreement expressly reserves to the Student and Parents the right to seek compensatory and punitive damages, including but not limited to compensatory damages for personal injuries, violations of Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 or Section 1983 of the Civil Rights Act and for such other common law and statutory claims legal and equitable, as Parents and Student may have.

8. The parties agree to work collaboratively in the implementation of this Settlement Agreement and the Amended 504

Education plan attached as Exhibit A.

9. Upon medical verification, District shall exempt Student from any additional physical activity , Student shall meet physical education requirements for graduation, with reasonable accommodation, if required.

10. An executed copy of this document shall carry the same authenticity with respect to the original document.

11. The Parents shall receive reasonable attorney's fees and costs of Pearson, Patton, Shea, Foley and Kurtz, upon application to the District for such fees.

12. The Parents are entitled to receive for private tutorial expenses for which they are out-of-pocket in the amount of \$285.00, and upon proof of payment these amounts shall be paid within 45 days of this Agreement.

13. This Settlement Agreement shall remain confidential, except insofar as it is necessary to be disclosed in any future administrative or court proceedings to pursue any claims or remedies, past, present or future.

14. This Settlement Agreement constitutes the entire understanding between the parties, and cannot be altered, amended

or modified in change unless the parties to agree so in writing.

DATED 4/11/02 Charlene Green  
CHARLENE GREEN  
Associate Superintendent  
Student Support Services Division

DATED 4/11/02 Michael S. Harley  
MICHAEL S. HARLEY  
Compliance Officer

DATED 4/11/02 Dr. Andre Denson  
DR. ANDRE DENSON  
Principal, Mojave High School

DATED 11 April 2002 Butch Kennedy  
BUTCH KENNEDY  
Parent

DATED 4/11/02 Doris Kennedy  
DORIS KENNEDY  
Parent

DATED 4/11/02 Niels L. Pearson  
NIELS L. PEARSON, ESQUIRE  
Attorney for Parents

EXHIBIT A  
TO  
SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

**AMENDED 504 PLAN OF**  
**STUDENT NO. 175805**  
**DATE OF BIRTH: 7/7/85**

1. Student shall receive three hours of supplemental home instruction per week while Student remains a part time student and one hour of additional supplemental home instruction for every two consecutive days missed due to illness related to Student's disability.
2. Student shall be provided all relevant course class work and assignment materials. The Supplemental Home Instruction teacher shall be responsible for provision of all such materials and Parents and and/or Student agree to sign and will receive a copy of an itemized, dated receipt for such assignments.
3. Student shall be graded on a reduced number of assignments to emphasize concept mastery. Following any absences due to illness related to Student's disability, Student shall not be immediately tested upon return to school. All completed assignments returned by Student shall be itemized, receipted, and dated by the Supplemental Home Instruction teacher, with a copy of this receipt provided to Student and/or Parents.
4. Student shall be given additional time to complete classroom assignments, homework assignments and examinations due to concentration problems, and health concerns. Upon request, Student may require rest, may be excused from the classroom or be allowed to go to alternate setting within or outside the classroom,



including the health office, due to environmental irritants. Upon request, Student shall be entitled to obtain a pass to the health office.

The health office shall contact Student's Parents should Student go to health office.

5. Student will be allowed to carry and use a water bottle in class.

6. Student shall be provided a progress report on all classes every two weeks.

7. Student will be given an exemption from participation in any activities that may exacerbate his disabilities. An alternate assignment is to be given for such activities.

8. When the Student's absences or tardies are related to his disability, and the proper medical excuse is submitted to the school, the student's absences or tardies shall be excused. Student's excused absences shall not affect participation points or "seat time" in class.

ATTACHMENT #1  
504 ACCOMODATION PLAN

Student:  
Number: 175805  
School Year: 01-02

1. Parent and/or student to notify assigned teacher for frequent intermittent absences if tutorial services are needed. Classroom teachers to keep parents and assigned tutorial teacher updated with any academic concerns related to absences due to allergies. This will allow the student to receive assistance at home or at school after a designated number of days to facilitate ongoing instruction and minimize loss of instruction during these periods of absence.
2. Student should be given exemption from participation in activities that may include irritants that are allergy sensitive to the student (ie: animals, science chemicals) an alternate assignment should be given.
3. Student to be allowed a pass to the Health Office upon request.
4. Concentration problems due to allergies and medications may be present. Student may need increased length of time to complete task.
5. Provide preferential seating when classroom irritants (open windows) or student irritants (perfumes) impair student's concentration level.
6. Health Office staff may request parent to provide updated medical documentation if health condition changes or absences are becoming excessive.
7. Parent to contact Health Office staff for Homebound application if known that student will be gone for three weeks or longer due to medical problem.
8. Student is to receive an up to date grade print out for each class on a bi-monthly basis.